

Contents

Contents	iii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Current structural concrete practice.....	1
1.2 Computer-aided truss models and stress fields	2
1.3 The Compatible Stress Field Method	3
1.4 Outline.....	4
1.5 Acknowledgments.....	4
2 Structural concrete design with strut-and-tie models and stress fields	5
2.1 Introduction.....	5
2.2 Historical background	5
2.3 Limit analysis methods.....	7
2.3.1 Theorems	7
2.3.2 Application to structural concrete	9
2.4 Strut-and-tie models and stress fields.....	10
2.5 Code provisions.....	11
3 The Compatible Stress Field Method	15
3.1 Scope of the method.....	15
3.2 Main assumptions and limitations	16
3.3 Constitutive models.....	17
3.3.1 Concrete	17
3.3.2 Reinforcement.....	18
3.3.3 Verification of anchorage length.....	18
3.3.4 Tension stiffening	19
3.4 Reinforcement design.....	23
3.4.1 Workflow and goals.....	23
3.4.2 Reinforcement locations	24
3.4.3 Amount of reinforcement.....	25
3.5 Verification of the structural element.....	29
3.5.1 Safety format factor	29
3.5.2 Ultimate limit state analysis	29
3.5.3 Serviceability limit state analysis.....	30
3.6 Finite element implementation	33
3.6.1 Introduction.....	33
3.6.2 Supports and load transmitting components	34
3.6.3 Geometric modification of cross-sections.....	35
3.6.4 Load transfer at trimmed ends of beams	36
3.6.5 Finite element types	37
3.6.6 Meshing	41
3.6.7 Solution method and load-control algorithm	43

3.6.8	Results and verifications.....	44
4	Basic validations.....	47
4.1	Introduction.....	47
4.2	Uniaxial tension including crack widths.....	47
4.2.1	Case description.....	47
4.2.2	Modeling with the CSFM.....	47
4.2.3	Comparison with theoretical constitutive models.....	49
4.2.4	Mesh size sensitivity.....	51
4.2.5	Conclusions.....	52
4.3	Uniaxial compression.....	53
4.3.1	Case description.....	53
4.3.2	Modeling with the CSFM.....	53
4.3.3	Results and comparison to codes.....	54
4.3.4	Mesh size sensitivity.....	54
4.3.5	Conclusions.....	55
4.4	Pull-out of reinforcing bars.....	55
4.4.1	Case description.....	55
4.4.2	Modeling with the CSFM.....	55
4.4.3	Comparison with analytical results.....	56
4.4.4	Mesh size sensitivity.....	57
4.4.5	Conclusions.....	58
5	Comparison with codes.....	59
5.1	Introduction.....	59
5.2	Comparison between the CSFM and the strut-and-tie model.....	60
5.2.1	Case description.....	60
5.2.2	Modeling with the CSFM.....	61
5.2.3	Ultimate limit state design.....	63
5.2.4	Serviceability limit state analysis.....	66
5.2.5	Optimization of the locations and directions of reinforcing bars.....	70
5.2.6	Conclusions.....	73
5.3	A Eurocode-based beam analysis.....	73
5.3.1	Case description.....	73
5.3.2	Modeling with the CSFM.....	75
5.3.3	Ultimate limit state.....	76
5.3.4	Serviceability limit state.....	81
5.3.5	Conclusions.....	84
5.4	Beam analysis according to ACI 318-14.....	85
5.4.1	Case description.....	85
5.4.2	Modeling with the CSFM.....	86
5.4.3	Ultimate limit state.....	87
5.4.4	Serviceability limit state.....	90
5.4.5	Conclusions.....	92
5.5	Analysis of a T-beam in a four-point bending configuration.....	93
5.5.1	Case description.....	93

5.5.2	Modeling with the CSFM	94
5.5.3	Ultimate limit state.....	96
5.5.4	Serviceability limit state.....	98
5.5.5	Conclusions.....	101
6	Experimental validation	103
6.1	Introduction.....	103
6.1.1	Definition of failure modes.....	104
6.2	Four-point bending tests on T-beams.....	104
6.2.1	Experimental setup.....	105
6.2.2	Material properties.....	106
6.2.3	Modeling with the CSFM	107
6.2.4	Comparison with experimental results.....	109
6.2.5	Conclusions.....	116
6.3	Cantilever wall-type bridge piers.....	116
6.3.1	Experimental setup.....	117
6.3.2	Material properties.....	118
6.3.3	Modeling with the CSFM	119
6.3.4	Comparison with experimental results.....	121
6.3.5	Conclusions.....	125
6.4	Shear tests in beams with low amounts of stirrups.....	126
6.4.1	Experimental setup.....	126
6.4.2	Material properties.....	127
6.4.3	Modeling with the CSFM	129
6.4.4	Comparison with experimental results.....	130
6.4.5	Conclusions.....	135
6.5	Concrete pier caps.....	135
6.5.1	Experimental setup.....	136
6.5.2	Material properties.....	137
6.5.3	Modeling with the CSFM	137
6.5.4	Comparison with experimental results.....	139
6.5.5	Conclusions.....	141
7	Conclusions.....	143
Appendix A: Hypotheses of IDEA StatiCa RCS/Beam software.....		147
A.1	Main hypotheses.....	147
A.2	Calculation assumptions for ULS checks.....	147
A.2.1	Interaction diagram.....	148
A.2.2	Response of the cross-section (method of limited deformation).....	148
A.3	Calculation assumptions for SLS checks.....	148
A.3.1	Stiffness for calculating short-term effects.....	149
A.3.2	Stiffness for calculating long-term effects, including creep effects.....	149
References.....		151
Notation		154