Verification example – Anchor bolts in tension and shear

Type of connection: Base plate subjected to pure tension

Unit system: Metric

Designed acc. to: CSA S14-16 and CSA A23.3-14

Investigated: Anchor bolts in tension

Plate Materials: 350W

Base plate thickness: 25.4 mm

Anchor bolts: 3/4, grade A325, standard holes with diameter 21 mm, circular heads with diameter 45 mm, embedment length 400 mm

Geometry:

Applied forces:

 $N = 30$ kN $V = 100$ kN $M = 0$ kNm

Procedure:

Anchor bolts in tension are designed according to Concrete Capacity Method in A23.3-14 Design of concrete structures – Annex D. The concrete pad is assumed as unreinforced and cracked. Shear force is assumed to be transferred via all anchor bolts for all failure modes and the concrete cone in shear is assumed as the closest to the concrete edge.

IDEA StatiCa uses a Winkler subsoil model for concrete foundation pad as simplification.

IDEA StatiCa Connection

According to Canadian customs, the base plate should not yield. The maximum von Mises stress reached on the base plate is 49.3 MPa. The combination of tensile and shear force causes a slight bending of the column base. The base plate is inclined and is in contact with the concrete which increases the tensile forces in anchors no. 3 and 4. The shear forces are affected by the normal force; the tensile force deforms the base plate and the shear vectors with magnitude 0.9 kN aim to the centre of the base plate.

Check of anchors for extreme load effect

CISC

IDEA StatiCa Connection

CISC Verification Example

Anchors in tension and shear

Material:

 $V\!\coloneqq\!100\,$ kN

Steel resistance of anchor in tension:

$$
N_{sar}{\coloneqq}A_{seN}{\cdot}\phi_s{\cdot}f_{uta}{\cdot}R{=}119.9\,\,\text{kN}
$$

$$
\frac{N}{n}
$$
Utilization:
$$
\frac{N}{N_{sar}} = 6\%
$$

Concrete breakout resistance of anchor in tension:

in concrete pad:

Effective embedment depth of anchor in concrete pad:

Smallest distance from the anchor to the edge:

$$
c_{amin}\!:=\!\min\left(c_1,c_2\right)\!=\!300\text{ }\mathrm{mm}
$$

 $\psi_{edN} \coloneqq \! \min \! \left(0.7 + 0.3 \cdot \frac{c_{\textit{amin}}}{1.5 \cdot h_{\textit{ef}}}, 1 \right) \! = \! 1$

 $h_{ef}\!:=\!min\!\left(\!h_{emb},\max\!\left(\frac{c_1}{1.5},\frac{c_2}{1.5},\frac{s_1}{3},\frac{s_2}{3}\right)\!\right)\!=\!213\,\,\mathrm{mm}$

Modification factor for edge distance:

Modification factor for concrete conditions:

Factor:

 $k_c = 10$

 $\psi_{cN} := 1$

Concrete breakout cone area of a single anchor not influenced by edges:

Concrete breakout cone area

 $A_{Nc} = a_c \cdot b_c = 900000$ mm²

 $A_{N\alpha} = 9 \cdot h_{ef}^2 = 409600$ mm²

$$
N_{br} = k_c \cdot \phi_c \cdot \lambda_a \cdot \sqrt{f'_c} \cdot h_{ef}^{1.5} \cdot R \cdot \sqrt{1000} \cdot \frac{\text{kg}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\text{s}} = 92.1 \text{ kN}
$$

Basic concrete breakout resistance:

of a group of anchors:

 \cdot

$$
N_{ctr} = \frac{A_{Ne}}{A_{No}} \cdot \psi_{edN} \cdot \psi_{cN} \cdot \frac{N_{br}}{n} = 49.7 \text{ kN}
$$

Utilization:

$$
\frac{N}{n} = 15\%
$$

 $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$

$$
N_{br} := k_c \cdot \phi_c \cdot \lambda_a \cdot \sqrt{f'_c}
$$

$$
= 49.7 \text{ kN}
$$

$$
\frac{N}{n} = 15\%
$$

CISC Verification Example

Concrete pullout resistance of headed anchor in tension:

Resistance modification $R\!:=\!0.75$ factor:

$$
V_{sar} := A_{seV} \cdot \phi_s \cdot 0.6 \cdot f_{uta} \cdot R = 67.5 \text{ kN}
$$

Utilization:

$$
\frac{V}{n}
$$

$$
\frac{V}{V_{sar}} = 37\%
$$

CISC Verification Example

Concrete breakout resistance of anchor in shear:

Distance to the edge:

Projected concrete failure area of one anchor when not limited by corner influences, spacing or member thickness:

Projected concrete failure area of an anchor or group of anchors divided by number of anchors in this group:

Modification factor for edge effect:

Modification factor for concrete condition:

Modification factor for anchor in thin member:

Load-bearing length of the anchor for shear:

Resistance modification factor:

Factored concrete breakout resistance in shear of a single anchor in cracked concrete:

$$
c_{a1} := min\left(c_1, \max\left(\frac{c_2}{1.5}, \frac{h_c}{1.5}, \frac{s_2}{3}\right)\right) = 320
$$
mm

$$
A_{Vc0}:=4.5 \cdot {c_{a1}}^2=460800 \ \mathrm{mm}^2
$$

$$
A_{Vc} = \frac{b_c \cdot 1.5 \cdot c_{a1}}{2} = 216000 \text{ mm}^2
$$

$$
\psi_{edV} = min \left(0.7 + 0.3 \cdot \frac{c_2}{1.5 \cdot c_{a1}}, 1\right) = 0.888
$$

 $\psi_{cV} = 1$

$$
\psi_{h\!V}\!:=\!\max\!\left(\!\sqrt{\frac{1.5\boldsymbol{\cdot} c_{a1}}{h_{\rm c}}},1\!\right)\!\!=\!1
$$

 $l_e = min(8 \cdot d_a, h_{ef}) = 152.4$ mm

$$
R\!\coloneqq\!1
$$

$$
V_{br1} = 0.58 \cdot \left(\frac{l_e}{d_a}\right)^{0.2} \cdot \sqrt{d_a} \cdot \phi_c \cdot \lambda_a \cdot \sqrt{f'_c} \cdot c_{a1}^{1.5} \cdot 1000 \cdot \frac{\text{kg}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\text{m}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \text{s}} = 65 \text{ kN}
$$

$$
V_{br2} = 3.75 \cdot \lambda_a \cdot \phi_c \cdot \sqrt{f'_c} \cdot c_{a1}^{1.5} \cdot R \cdot \sqrt{1000} \cdot \frac{\text{kg}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\text{s}} = 63.5 \text{ kN}
$$

$$
V_{br} = min \left(V_{br1}, V_{br2}\right) = 63.5 \text{ kN}
$$

$$
V_{ctr} = \frac{A_{Vc}}{A_{Vc0}} \cdot \psi_{edV} \cdot \psi_{cV} \cdot \psi_{hV} \cdot V_{br} = 26.4 \text{ kN}
$$

Utilization:

$$
\frac{V}{n}
$$

$$
\frac{V}{V_{\text{obr}}} = 95\%
$$

 $\boldsymbol{6}$

CISC Verification Example

Concrete pryout resistance of anchor in shear:

Cast-in anchors:

 $N_{\text{cur}} := N_{\text{chr}} = 49.7 \text{ kN}$

 $= 25%$

 $k_{cn} = 2$

 $V_{cor} = k_{cp} \cdot N_{cor} = 99.3 \text{ kN}$

Utilization:

$$
V_{cpr}
$$

$$
Ut_s := \max\left(\frac{V}{N_{sar}}, \frac{V}{V_{ctr}}, \frac{V}{V_{cpr}}\right) = 95\%
$$

Utilization:

Interaction of tensile and shear forces:

$$
Ut_{ts} := Ut_t^{\frac{5}{3}} + Ut_s^{\frac{5}{3}} = 96\%
$$

Comparison

The resistances of anchors in tension – steel resistance of the anchor, concrete breakout resistance, concrete pullout resistance, and side-face blowout resistance – and in shear – steel resistance of the anchor, concrete breakout resistance, and concrete pryout resistance – are completely the same as using the manual check according to A23.3-14 – Annex D. The difference is between the loading, the forces acting on anchors are slightly higher due to the prying forces which are not expected in the manual assessment. The difference in anchor utilization is therefore 3 %. The difference varies with the plate thickness and the normal force. Concrete capacity method assumes rigid base plate while CBFEM uses force distribution which is closer to reality.